Vol 25, No. 1S (2024) <a href="http://www.veterinaria.org">http://www.veterinaria.org</a>

Article Received: Revised: Accepted:



## The Paradox Of Power And Identity In The Harry Potter Series: An Examination Of Evil Through The Lens Of Voldemort's Followers

W. Angel<sup>1\*</sup>, Dr. J. Arul Anand<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of English, Annamalai University. angelfairywilson95@gmail.com
<sup>2</sup>Research Supervisor, Professor & Head, Department of English, Annamalai University.

## **Abstract**

This paper explores how J.K. Rowling's \*Harry Potter\* series presents evil as inherently self-destructive, particularly through the depiction of Voldemort and his followers. By examining three key characters—Professor Quirrell, Peter Pettigrew, and Barty Crouch, Jr.—who are revealed as weak and enslaved to Voldemort, the analysis highlights the paradox of power and the loss of identity that accompanies evil in Rowling's world. This article argues that far from romanticizing evil, Rowling critiques its self-destructive nature, and in doing so, reinforces a moral framework where sacrifice, love, and loyalty are presented as antidotes to the corrosive effects of evil.

Keywords: J.K. Rowling, Harry Potter, Voldemort, evil, self-destruction, identity loss, followers, power, morality

The Harry Potter series by J.K. Rowling is renowned for its complex exploration of good and evil, with Voldemort serving as the embodiment of the latter. However, the series does more than simply pit good against evil in a traditional dichotomy. Instead, it offers a nuanced portrayal of evil, particularly through its focus on Voldemort's followers. This article investigates the climactic revelations surrounding three of Voldemort's most significant followers—Professor Quirrell in Sorcerer's Stone, Peter Pettigrew in Prisoner of Azkaban, and Barty Crouch, Jr. in Goblet of Fire. Each character illustrates how their allegiance to Voldemort leads to a loss of power and identity, reflecting Rowling's view that evil is inherently self-destructive.

In *Sorcerer's Stone*, Professor Quirrell is initially portrayed as a timid and harmless character, yet Rowling subverts this image with the shocking revelation that he is harboring the dark lord Voldemort. Quirrell's claim that "there is no good and evil, only power and those too weak to seek it" (SS 191) epitomizes his misguided understanding of strength. By the climax, Quirrell's physical and mental subjugation by Voldemort reduces him to a mere puppet, highlighting how the pursuit of power under evil leads not to empowerment but to total enslavement.

The relationship between Voldemort and Quirrell also serves as a grotesque metaphor for the parasitic nature of evil. Voldemort, physically disembodied and grotesque in form, clings to life by latching onto Quirrell, which serves as a physical manifestation of the moral decay evil brings. This is further evidenced by Voldemort's continued dependence on others throughout the series, whether it is through physical possession, manipulation, or fear.

Peter Pettigrew's transformation from the pathetic rat, Scabbers, into Voldemort's devoted servant in *Prisoner of Azkaban* provides another example of how Rowling critiques evil as being inherently self-defeating. Pettigrew's betrayal of James and Lily Potter is not motivated by power, but by fear—a crucial distinction that underscores his cowardice. His subsequent life as a rat symbolizes his dehumanization and self-imposed servitude to Voldemort. Pettigrew's fear-driven betrayal sharply contrasts with the actions of characters like Sirius Black, who embodies the noble principle of self-sacrifice, as evidenced in his heated statement to Pettigrew: "You should have died rather than betray your friends!" (PoA 375). This distinction draws a clear line between the values that Rowling associates with the forces of good loyalty, sacrifice, and love and those she associates with evil, namely fear, cowardice, and self-interest. Pettigrew's ultimate fate being manipulated by Voldemort and forced to sacrifice his own hand further illustrates that followers of evil become mere instruments of their master's will, losing their agency and identity.

The third key follower of Voldemort is Barty Crouch, Jr., whose use of Polyjuice Potion to impersonate Alastor Moody in *Goblet of Fire* adds a different layer to Rowling's treatment of evil. Here, Rowling explores how even the appearance of power is hollow when it is rooted in deception. Crouch's dedication to Voldemort is absolute, yet it costs him his own identity, as he becomes the embodiment of Moody for much of the novel. The thematic parallel between Crouch's fate and the Dementor's Kiss described in *Prisoner of Azkaban* as the loss of one's soul is striking. Crouch's eventual capture and subjection to the Dementor's Kiss emphasize the ultimate cost of his allegiance to Voldemort: the loss of self. Just as Quirrell and Pettigrew are consumed by their master's needs, Crouch becomes an empty shell, reflecting Rowling's overarching message that evil dehumanizes and destroys both victims and perpetrators.

Voldemort's own trajectory, as Rowling presents it, parallels these followers' fates. Although Voldemort represents the apex of evil and power, he too is driven by fear specifically, the fear of death. His obsession with immortality, as revealed through his creation of Horcruxes, reduces him to something less than human. Voldemort's hubristic attempts to conquer death echo the classic overreacher archetype seen in characters such as Marlowe's Dr. Faustus or Shelley's Victor Frankenstein. Both Voldemort and these literary figures seek to transcend the limitations of humanity but are ultimately diminished and undone by their own actions. Rowling's depiction of Voldemort as a figure who "exists" rather than lives aligns with her thematic treatment of evil as a joyless, life-denying force. Voldemort's followers, like

REDVET - Revista electrónica de Veterinaria - ISSN 1695-7504

Vol 25, No. 1S (2024)

http://www.veterinaria.org

Article Received: Revised: Accepted:



their master, lead lives devoid of joy, defined instead by fear and servitude. In contrast, Rowling's protagonists, particularly Harry, are shown to live fully despite their struggles, forming meaningful relationships and embracing the value of life. Through the exploration of Voldemort's followers Quirrell, Pettigrew, and Crouch, Jr. and the self-destructive consequences of their allegiance, J.K. Rowling provides a sophisticated critique of evil in the *Harry Potter* series. Far from romanticizing power, Rowling suggests that true strength comes from love, loyalty, and the willingness to sacrifice for others. Evil, as portrayed in these characters, is not a path to empowerment but a path to destruction, where identity and humanity are eroded, and joy is replaced by fear. Ultimately, Rowling presents Voldemort as the ultimate victim of his own evil, underscoring the moral that the pursuit of power through selfishness and fear leads only to a hollow, diminished existence.

## **Works Cited**

- 1. Rowling, J. K. Harry Potter and the Chamber of Secrets. Scholastic, 1999.
- 2. ---. Harry Potter and the Goblet of Fire. Scholastic, 2000.
- 3. ---. Harry Potter and the Half-Blood Prince. Scholastic, 2005.
- 4. ---. Harry Potter and the Order of the Phoenix. Scholastic, 2003.
- 5. ---. Harry Potter and the Prisoner of Azkaban. Scholastic, 1999.
- 6. ---. Harry Potter and the Sorcerer's Stone. Scholastic, 1997.
- 7. Anatol, Giselle Liza, ed. Reading Harry Potter: Critical Essays. Praeger, 2003.
- 8. Heilman, Elizabeth E., ed. Harry Potter's World: Multidisciplinary Critical Perspectives. RoutledgeFalmer, 2003.
- 9. Marlowe, Christopher. *Doctor Faustus*. In *The Works of Christopher Marlowe*. Ed. C. F. Tucker Brooke, Clarendon Press, 1966, pp. 139-229.
- 10. Shelley, Mary. Frankenstein. 2nd ed. Ed. Johanna M. Smith, Bedford/St. Martin's, 2000.
- 11. Stoker, Bram. Dracula. Ed. John Paul Riquelme, Bedford/St. Martin's, 2002.
- 12. Whited, Lana A., ed. *The Ivory Tower and Harry Potter: Perspectives on a Literary Phenomenon*. University of Missouri Press, 2002.