

Rosuvastatin-Induced CD34 Bone Healing Marker

Hiba H. Saeed, Maha T. Al-Saffar, Abdulsattar S. Mahmood

College of Dentistry, University of Mosul, Mosul, Iraq *hiba.20dep10@student.uomosul.edu.iq

Abstract

Rosuvastatin is primarily used for controlling abnormal lipids and cholesterol in the blood; however, evidence proves that when rosuvastatin was administered to patients with fractured bones, the administration demonstrated dramatic improvement in the wound healing process and regeneration characteristics of the bones. Physiologically, in the cases of the fractured bone, the bone marrow releases CD34⁺ cells into the peripheral blood, which circulates to the site of the fracture and recruits them there. The following study it is aimed to examine the effect of rosuvastatin on the promotion of expression of CD34. The study further aims to evaluate the impact of rosuvastatin on the repair and healing of fractures through a randomized control trial. 20 Rabbits were divided into two equal groups, which had ten rabbits each. Group one was the controlled group which received the placebo, which was normal saline, while the second group was the experimental group which was labelled as the rosuvastatin group. The results of the following study suggested that there was significant evidence of early osteogenesis in the group which received rosuvastatin as compared to the controlled group. In addition to it, the study confirmed that rosuvastatin is responsible for the early healing of the fracture and effective bone regeneration and repair.

Keywords: Rosuvastatin, bone, CD34, surface markers.

Introduction

One of the leading issues which result after any conventional allogeneic and autologous bone grafting is the impairment in the healing of the surgical site or the failure in the healing of the fracture (Li et al., 2020). Although most of the fractures heal appropriately, a marked number of them fail due to multiple reasons, which include local and systemic factors (Sybil et al., 2021). These factors include external forces, trauma, interruption in blood supply, and many other reasons (Rezazadeh et al., 2019). Out of all these reasons, inadequate blood supply is one of the most prevalent causes of delayed healing and regrowth, malunion and nonunion of fractures (Wang et al., 2019). Conventionally, it is believed that the supply to the soft tissue and complex vascular structures are responsible for transferring the blood supply to fracture sites and promoting healing in various injuries. When considering regenerative medicine and tissue engineering, neovascularization has been identified as an attractive method for bone repair and regeneration (Lin et al., 2018). It appears to take great advantage of the reciprocal osteogenic relationship that exists between endothelial cells and osteoblasts. To demonstrate the efficacy of circulating CD34-positive cells with osteogenic and vasculogenic or angiogenic properties for fracture repair, a number of studies have been performed (Li et al., 2020). Physiologically, in the cases of the fractured bone, the bone marrow releases CD34⁺ cells into the peripheral blood, which circulates to the site of the fracture and recruits them there. By secreting vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), which differentiates osteoblasts and endothelial cells, the attracted CD34⁺ cells near the injured site create an



environment conducive to fracture healing(Bowman *et al.*, 2021). Finally, these interconnected pathways promote osteogenesis and vasculogenesis, which ultimately accelerates the development of the sternal callus and results in functional recovery after fracture (Wang *et al.*, 2019).

Although that bone materials mainly involve a combination of vitamins and minerals; which are the requirement of healing process. Rosuvastatin, a drug which belongs to the pharmacological class statin, is primarily used for controlling abnormal lipids and cholesterol in the blood, however, evidence proves that when rosuvastatin was administered to patients with fractured bones, the administration demonstrated dramatic improvement in the wound healing process and regeneration characteristics of the bones (Leutner *et al.*, 2019; Li *et al.*, 2020). These findings are supported by the phenomenon that states that the drugs which belong to the statin class, which includes rosuvastatin, induce the expression increase of CD34 protein, which further promotes the regeneration of bones.

The following study it is aimed to examine the effect of rosuvastatin on the promotion of expression of CD34. The study further aims to evaluate the impact of rosuvastatin on the repair and healing of fractures through a randomized control trial.

Methodology

Material: In the following research, the chemical substance which has been used is rosuvastatin, which has the chemical formula C22H28FN3O6S. It belongs to the class statin, which is also known as HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, with a molecular mass of 481.539g/mol. It is primarily used for controlling the level of cholesterol. However, the effects on bone repairing and healing are markedly highlighted, which are studied in the following trial.

Study design: The following study is based on an experimental design which follows the protocols of the randomized control trials. It is the most suitable method to evaluate the impact of the drug on the subject. Furthermore, a randomized control trial enables the evaluation of the difference in the impact of the drug on a condition in comparison to the controlled group, which does not receive the intervention.

Study subject: In the following study, the subject animals which are used for the experiment are the six-month-old rabbits. All these animals were taken under the protocols and guidelines of animal welfare acts which ensured that all the animals' rights were preserved and these animals were kept under standard care.

Experimental Design: A total of 20 rabbits were randomly selected to include in the experiment. All these animals were kept at room temperature, which is approximately $19\pm1^{\circ}$ C, and the humidity of the room where the rabbits were stored was approximately 55%. All the rabbits were healthy, and they weighed around 3.0kgs to 3.5kgs each. Fluorescent lighting in the cages was 20lux in the back, and 130 lux in front, which maintained the 12 hours day and 12 hours night cycle. These rabbits were provided with tap water and food as per the requirement.

A total of 20 Rabbits were divided into two equal groups, which had ten rabbits each. Group one was the controlled group which received the placebo, which was normal saline, while the



second group was the experimental group which was labelled as the rosuvastatin group or (RVS) group. After the intervention, each group was studied in the span of seven, fourteen, and twenty-one days. The results were carefully recorded to learn the impact of the drug and build a conclusion from it. The immunohistochemistry of the bone was carried out by evaluating the bone marker CD34.

Data Analysis

The mean and SEM of all data were analyzed separately. In addition to it, a Non-parametric analysis (Mann-Whitney U-test) using SPSS for Windows, version 24, was used to assess differences between groups. The results were considered statistically significant at a value of P=0.05 and a confidence interval of 95%.

Results

The results of the following experiment have been made on the bases of two separate groups, the controlled group and the intervention group, which received rosuvastatin. In addition to it, the results have been recorded three different times. Firstly, the results were analyzed after seven days of the administration of the respective intervention. A second analysis was done after fourteen days of analysis, and lastly, the third intervention was done after the experiment. All these analyses were done based on the histopathology reports of the bone. The CD34 marker represents the status of osteogenesis in the bones.

On the seventh day after the intervention, the results of the controlled group represented that there were no signs of changes in the histophysiology. Correspondingly, the results of the intervention group represented that there were positive findings which indicated mild angiogenesis and mild osteoprogenitor cells, which are the stem cells that play a significant role in the growth of the bone and repair. The presence of these osteoprogenitors indicates the regeneration of bone at the site of the injury.

The next evaluation was done on the fourteenth day of the experiment. In the controlled group, there were signs of angiogenesis and cell differentiation of osteoblast. This indicated that there was new vascular growth and the formation of osteocytes. On the other hand, the results of the controlled group indicated mild to moderate bone formation. Progress in angiogenesis and marked generation of bones at the site of injury. In addition to it, there were marketing patches of CD34 at the bone trabecular, indicating activation of stem cells of the bone marrow.

Lastly, the results which were obtained on the 21st day of the experiment indicated that in the controlled group, there was a mild formation of bone and mild angiogenesis. In addition to it, there was mild CD34 expression indicating a normal bone healing process or secondary bone healing process. However, the findings of the intervention group indicated that there was obvious secondary bone healing and evident regeneration of bone at the site of the bone injury. In addition to it, there were well-developed blood vessels which indicated healthy angiogenesis, which was accompanied by the formation of thick bone trabeculae with narrowing of bone marrow spacing that indicates an accelerated bone healing process.



Time points	Control	+Rosuvastatin		
Day 7	No any marketing immunohistochemical changes by	Mild angiogenesis and mild osteoprogenitor		
	CD34	cell differentiation		
Day 14		Mild to moderate bone formation		
		Angiogenesis		
	Starting angiogenesis in the site of bone surgical	Bone regeneration at site of artificial defect		
	procedure with differentiation of osteoblast	Marketing patches of CD34 at bone		
		trabecule indicating activation of stem cells		
		of the bone marrow		
Day 21		Bone regeneration at site of artificial defect		
	Mild bone formation and mild angiogenesis with mild CD34 expression indicating normal bone healing process or secondary bone healing process	Secondary bone healing is obvious with		
		well-developed blood vessels and thick		
		bone trabecule formation with narrowing of		
		bone marrow spacing that indicates		
		accelerated bone healing process		

Table 1.	Results	diagnosis	bv	histor	pathologist
I upic I.	itesuites	anagnosis	0,	moto	pulliologist



Figure 1. Rosuvastatin-induced bone osteogenesis marker CD34

Discussions

It has been reported by multiple studies that rosuvastatin is commonly utilized to manage abnormal lipids and cholesterol in the blood (Almukhtar et al., 2020; Althanoon *et al.*, 2020; Almukhtar et al., 2021; Almukhtar et al., 2022) However, the emerging evidence suggested that when rosuvastatin was used in patients with fractures, the wound healing process and bone regeneration function were significantly improved(Li *et al.*, 2020). In the following experimental study, the effects of rosuvastatin were examined on the rabbits induced with bone injuries.

The results of the following study suggested that there was a marked increase in the bone regeneration process after the administration of rosuvastatin. In addition to it, the comparison suggested that the healing process in the controlled group was delayed and slow as compared to the intervention group, which received rosuvastatin (Rezazadeh *et al.*, 2019). The following findings are supported by the study, which stated that BMPs are the most effective biological



growth factors that promote bone growth and thus contribute to bone healing in the repair of bone defects (Wang *et al.*, 2019). These proteins promote the differentiation of osteoprogenitor cells into mature osteoblasts and other specific cell types into osteoblast lineage cells, respectively. In addition, it has been found that an investigation tested the use of ACS as an RSV carrier and produced critical-sized cortical bone lesions next to titanium implants in rabbits (Chatterjee *et al.*, 2019). They found that when administered topically, RSV enhanced bone growth. In light of these investigations, another study decided to repair the abnormalities in the cervical bone of rats by topical application of RSV using ACS. The results of this study showed that topical RSV treatment had a positive effect on auto graft-induced bone growth, which is consistent with the results of other investigations (Akbari et al., 2020; Safakheil and Safakheil, 2020). The findings of this study are similar to the above-mentioned studies as there has been an evident sign of rapid bone regeneration.

In addition to bone repair and bone regeneration, the results of the following study represented that rosuvastatin is markedly associated with facilitating angiogenesis in the cells. An examination performed to assess the effect of rosuvastatin administration on EPC-mediated neovascularization, and EPC mobilization in any ischemia-related injury was the basis for the results of this study. The results of the study showed that a low dose of rosuvastatin (0.1 mg/kg) greatly increased capillary density and accelerated blood flow recovery compared to saline-treated controls (Gokdemir, 2021). The following findings are also seconded by another study which stated that rosuvastatin when administered at lower doses, promotes the mobilization of endothelial progenitor cells, which in turn enhances the formation of ischemic neovascularization (Hu *et al.*, 2019). Therefore, optimizing the dose of rosuvastatin may increase its efficacy in the prevention and treatment of ischemic disease.

Although the following study has presented a clear comparison between the regeneration of bone and the repairing process of bone, however, the study did not discuss the optimum dosing of the drug and safety percussion. Moreover, the following study did not discuss the adverse effects associated with the drug too. Therefore more investigation and studies are required in this area.

The present trial showed a satisfied outcome to certain extent. However, additional trials need to be carried out in the future via combining rosuvastatin with vitamins (C, E, and D)(Merkhan and Abdullah, 2020; Sulaiman et al., 2022) or minerals (Zinc) (Younis *et al.*, 2022; Althanoon and Merkhan, 2021) which might boost the response to rosuvastatin. Similar studies could be carried out using fluvoxamine or fluoxetine (Abdulqader *et al.*, 2022; Faisal et al., 2022), allopurinol(Faisal et al., 2020; Abdulrazzaq et al., 2020; Alchalaby et al.2022), esomeprazole (Abdullah *et al.*, 2021; Merkhan et al., 2022), atorvastatin (Almukhtar et al., 2022; Almukhtar et al., 2020), antiepileptics(Althanoon et al., 2019), risperidone, and olanzapine(Faisal *et al.*, 2019).

Conclusion

The following study was conducted with the aim of evaluating the impact of rosuvastatin on fracture repair and healing and its effects on osteogenesis and angiogenesis at the site of bone injury. The findings of the study suggested that there was significant evidence of early osteogenesis in the group which received rosuvastatin as compared to the controlled group. In



addition to it, the study confirmed that rosuvastatin is responsible for the early healing of the fracture and effective bone regeneration and repair. However, the study does not discuss the dosage and the adverse effects associated with rosuvastatin.

Conflict of interests: The authors declare no potential conflict of interests.

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank the College of Dentistry and College of Veterinary Medicine/University of Mosul for their provided facilities to accomplish this work. **Funding:** Self-funded

References

- [1] Abdullah, E., Dhiaa, S., Saleh, K. and Merkhan, M., 2021. Effect of esomeprazole on lipid profile in patients with peptic ulcer. *Pharmacia*, *68*, p.613. doi:10.3897/pharmacia.68.e70292.
- [2] Abdulqader, S.W., Faisal, I.M., Saeed, M.G. and Merkhan, M.M., 2022. Fluvoxamine Suppressed Oxidative Stress associated with Tissue Erosion. *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology*, *15*(2), pp.819-824. doi:10.52711/0974-360X.2022.00136.
- [3] Abdulrazzaq, G., Khalaf, M.M. and Merkhan, M.M., 2006. Allopurinol therapy impairs lipid metabolism in patients with renal stone. *Pharmacology*, *1*, p.1.
- [4] Akbari, V., Rezazadeh, M. and Ebrahimi, Z., 2020. Comparison the effects of chitosan and hyaluronic acid-based thermally sensitive hydrogels containing rosuvastatin on human osteoblast-like MG-63 cells. *Research in Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 15(1), p.97. doi:10.4103/1735-5362.278719.
- [5] Almukhtar, H.M., Faisal, I.M. and Merkhan, M.M., 2020. Effects of statins on platelet count in hyperlipidemic patients. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, *12*(2), pp.2640-2644. doi:10.31838/ijpr/2020.12.02.357.
- [6] Almukhtar, H.M., Faisal, I.M. and Merkhan, M.M., 2021. Acute effect of atorvastatin in comparison with rosuvastatin on glucose homeostasis in hypercholesteremic patients. *Pharmacology*, *25*, pp.25-34.
- [7] Almukhtar, H.M., Faisal, I.M. and Merkhan, M.M., 2022. Short-term treatment with Atorvastatin selectively decreases Lymphocyte count. *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology*, *15*(2), pp.689-694. doi:10.52711/0974-360X.2022.00114.
- [8] Althanoon, Z., Faisal, I.M., Ahmad, A.A., Merkhan, M.M. and Merkhan, M.M., 2020. Pharmacological aspects of statins are relevant to their structural and physicochemical properties. *Systematic Reviews in Pharmacy*, *11*(7), pp.167-171. doi:10.31838/srp.2020.7.27.
- [9] Althanoon, Z.A. and Merkhan, M.M., 2021. Effects of zinc supplementation on metabolic status in patients with metabolic syndrome. *Acta Poloniae Pharmaceutica*, 78(4), pp.521-526. doi:10.32383/appdr/141348.
- [10] Chu, X., Chan, G.H., Houle, R., Lin, M., Yabut, J. and Fandozzi, C., 2022. In Vitro Assessment of Transporter Mediated Perpetrator DDIs for Several Hepatitis C Virus Direct-Acting Antiviral Drugs and Prediction of DDIs with Statins Using Static Models. *The AAPS Journal*, 24(3), pp.1-19. doi:10.1002/psp4.12571.
- [11] Chatterjee, D., Kapoor, A., Vijay, S., Sobti, G., Kara, D. and Thanvi, J., 2019. Efficacy of locally administered 1.2% rosuvastatin gel in patients with periodontitis: a randomized placebo controlled clinical trial. *European Journal of Dentistry*, 13(01), pp.029-035. doi:10.1055/s-0039-1688522.



- [12] Faisal, I.M., Almukhtar, H.M., Merkhan, M.M. and Alobaidi, R.W., 2019. Comparative antiinflammatory effect of risperidone versus olanzapine in schizophrenic patients. *Indian Journal* of Public Health Research & Development, 10(8), pp.964-9. doi:10.5958/0976-5506.2019.02019.9.
- [13] Faisal, I.M., Merkhan, M.M. and Almukhtar, H.M. (2020) 'Effect of chronic Allopurinol therapy on Thyroid function in patients with urate stones', *Journal of Advanced Pharmacy Education and Research*, 10(4), pp. 4–7.
- [14] Faisal, I.M., Saeed, M.G. and Merkhan, M.M. (2022) 'Fluvoxamine Provide a Gastro-Protection Against Vitiated Insult', *Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology*, 16(1). doi:10.37506/ijfmt.v16i1.17633.
- [15] Gokdemir, G.S. (2021) 'The effects of rosuvastatin and pravastatin on bone metabolism in diabetic rats', 28(10), pp. 1933–1938. doi:10.5455/annalsmedres.2020.11.1108.
- [16] Hu, Z., Wang, H., Fan, G., Zhang, H., Wang, X., Mao, J., Zhao, Y., An, Y., Huang, Y., Li, C. and Chang, L., 2019. Danhong injection mobilizes endothelial progenitor cells to repair vascular endothelium injury via upregulating the expression of Akt, eNOS and MMP-9. *Phytomedicine*, *61*, p.152850. doi:10.1016/j.phymed.2019.152850.
- [17] Leutner, M., Matzhold, C., Bellach, L., Deischinger, C., Harreiter, J., Thurner, S., Klimek, P. and Kautzky-Willer, A., 2019. Diagnosis of osteoporosis in statin-treated patients is dose-dependent. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases*, 78(12), pp.1706-1711. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-215714.
- [18] Li, H., Wang, Y., Wang, R., Yue, L., Chen, S. and Li, C., 2020. Effects of rosuvastatin and zoledronic acid in combination on the recovery of senile osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture following percutaneous vertebroplasty. *Journal of International Medical Research*, 48(5), p.0300060520925390. doi:10.1177/0300060520925390.
- [19] Lin, T.K., Chou, P., Lin, C.H., Hung, Y.J. and Jong, G.P., 2018. Long-term effect of statins on the risk of new-onset osteoporosis: a nationwide population-based cohort study. *PLoS One*, 13(5), p.e0196713. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0196713.
- [20] Alchalaby, L.A., Zainal, A.A., Abdulrazzaq, G.M. and Merkhan, M.M., 2022. Allopurinol modulated serum troponin level in renal stone patients. *Archivos Venezolanos de Farmacologia y Terapéutica*, *41*(2), pp.101-104.
- [21] Merkhan, M.M., Abdullah, E. and Althanoon, Z. (2022) 'Effect of Esomeprazole on serum creatinine and urea in patients with Peptic Ulcer', *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology*, 15(1), pp. 160–164. doi:10.52711/0974-360X.2022.00026.
- [22] Merkhan, M.M. and Abdullah, K.S. (2020) 'The role of vitamin C and E in improving hearing loss in patients with type 2 diabetes', *Annals of the College of Medicine, Mosul*, 41(2), pp. 184–189. doi:10.33899/mmed.2020.164162.
- Rezazadeh, M., Parandeh, M., Akbari, V., Ebrahimi, Z. and Taheri, A., 2019. Incorporation of [23] rosuvastatin-loaded chitosan/chondroitin sulfate nanoparticles into a thermosensitive hydrogel engineering: characterization, for bone tissue preparation, and cellular behavior. Pharmaceutical Technology, 24(3), **Development** and pp.357-367. doi:10.1080/10837450.2018.1484765.
- [24] Safakheil, M. and Safakheil, H., 2020. The effect of exosomes derived from bone marrow stem cells in combination with rosuvastatin on functional recovery and neuroprotection in rats after ischemic stroke. *Journal of Molecular Neuroscience*, 70(5), pp.724-737. doi:10.1007/s12031-020-01483-1. doi:10.1007/s12031-020-01483-1.



- [25] Sulaiman, E.A., Dhiaa, S. and Merkhan, M.M., 2022. Overview of vitamin d role in polycystic ovarian syndrome. doi:10.31482/mmsl.2021.027.
- [26] Sybil, D., Neelakandan, R.S., Datta, S., Singh, S. and Husain, S.A., 2021. Stem Cell Facilitated Healing of Mandibular Fractures: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Stem Cells*, 16(2/3), pp.91-100.
- [27] Wang, B.X., Li, K.P., Yu, T. and Feng, H.Y., 2019. Rosuvastatin promotes osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells in the rat model of osteoporosis by the Wnt/βcatenin signal. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*, 23(22), pp.10161-10168. doi:10.26355/eurrev_201911_19586.
- [28] Younis, H.Y. and Imad, A., 2021. Effect of zinc as an add on to metformin therapy on serum lipid profile and uric acid in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. *Curr topics in Pharmacology*, 25. doi:10.52711/0974-360X.2022.00198.
- [29] Zeina A. Althanoon, Ibrahim M. Faisal, Marwan M. Merkhan, Hani M. Almukhtar, Musab M Khalaf, Adnan A. Zainal, Ammar A. Younis, Gaith M Hasso, M.D.M. (2019) 'Efficacy of drugs for classical trigeminal neuralgia; statistical study comparative to gold-standard carbamazepine', *Journal of University of Garmian*, 6(s), pp. 194–200. doi:https://doi.org/10.24271/garmian.scpas26.