

Managing Infectious Calf Diarrhoea: Causes, Detection, And Treatment

Dr. Kavina Ganapathy¹, Dr. Febin Joy², Dr. Seydur Rahman³

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Biotechnology, School of Sciences, JAIN (Deemed-to-be University), Karnataka, India, Email id- g.kavina@jainuniversity.ac.in
²Associate Professor, Department of Ayurveda, Sanskriti University, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh, India, Email idfebinj.samch@sanskriti.edu.in

³Assistant Professor, Department of Zoology, Assam down town University, Guwahati, Assam, India, Email Idseydur.rahman@adtu.in, Orchid id- 0000-0002-9151-5434

Abstract

Understanding its origins, spotting the problem, and putting the right treatments in place are all necessary for managing infectious calf diarrhea. Young calves frequently have calf diarrhea, also known as newborn calf diarrhea or calf scours, which can have serious effects if left untreated. Young animals frequently have calf diarrhea, which continues to be a significant global source of lost production and monetary damage for cattle farmers. According to the 2007 National Animal Health Monitoring System report for U.S. dairy, diarrhea was blamed for 50% of unweaned calf fatalities. Numerous infections are known to cause, be a factor in, or influence the growth of calf diarrhea. Conditions and organizational procedures can affect the severity or results of the disease. In current cow-calf operations, calf diarrhea can be difficult. This article aims to: a) offer an improved knowledge of calf diarrhea-related known and prospective bovine enteric pathogens' ecology and pathophysiology; b) describe the benefits and drawbacks of the various diagnostic techniques for locating enteric infections; and c) develop enhanced intervention strategies for calf diarrhea management.

Keywords: Intervention, etiology, calf diarrhea, enteric pathogens

Introduction

A common ailment and a substantial cause of lack for cattle producers is calf diarrhea, often known as calf cleansing. Scours, another name for calf diarrhea, can have infectious and noninfectious causes. To deploy effective supervision techniques, it is crucial to pinpoint the root cause (1). One of the most dangerous illnesses affecting newborn calves (less than onemonth-old) globally is Neonatal calf diarrhea (NCD). Dehydration, acidosis, and solution depletion are just a few of the consequences that NCDs have that contribute to significant levels of morbidity and death. Despite advancements when it comes to management of herds, care, feeding, and nutrition of animals, as well as the timely introduction of biopharmaceuticals, NCD continues to be a key contributor to the present fruitfulness decline and monetary loss in Bos taurus herds all over the world. Viral and non-infectious causes can cause this condition. Infectious diseases are the main cause of death among these causes (2). The major causes contributing to calf mortality globally are diarrhea and associated digestive system problems. Over the past ten years, both short- and long-term viewpoints on the significance of calf health and wellbeing have been presented. It is possible to dramatically increase the production and lifespan of a herd by improving by optimizing management factors, including colostrum feeding, welfare, and early identification and treatment of ill calves, one can improve the health of newborn calves (3). The right steps to relieve the clinical symptoms should be implemented in conjunction with determining the cause



variables to control the consequences of calf diarrhea. Since determining the cause of diarrhea takes a lot of time, the most crucial aspect of illness care is monitoring reliable indicators representing pathological circumstances affecting calves experiencing diarrhea (4). Antimicrobial medications have been suggested as a component of the medical treatment of ill calves, regardless of the etiology of the diarrhea. Antimicrobial medication is mostly given to diarrheal calves to prevent or treat bacteremia. Since thorough antimicrobial therapy for a potentially fatal illness is essential from the standpoint of animal welfare, using antimicrobial medications to treat diarrheal calves with symptoms of bacteremia or sepsis is advised. Regardless of the underlying etiology, bacteremia affects 30% of diarrheic calves, and Escherichia coli has been identified from 50% of blood cultures in afflicted of the calves (5). The most common NCD is the primary cause of illness and mortality in dairy calves during their first month. Dehydration, electrolyte imbalances, and metabolic acidosis are symptoms of impacted calves that, if addressed, can be fatal. The long-term consequences of NCD in dairy heifers include reduced weight growth and development, resulting in huge monetary losses for the livestock business, a long wait before the first calving, and decreased milk output in the first lactation. In addition to raising major concerns about newborn calf welfare and excessive antibiotic usage with a possible rise in antibiotic resistance, NCD, the primary cause of dairy calf morbidity and death, also highlights these issues (6). The results of this research will further our understanding managing infectious calf diarrhea of causes, detection and their treatment.

The article (7) mentioned the new details on the interaction between the physiological characteristics of rotavirus-mediated diarrheal calves and the gut flora. These findings imply that rotavirus infection alters the gut microbiota's makeup, altering physiological factors during events. The study (8) examined the incidence of such viruses in Methods' randomly chosen dairy farms. During the months of November 2018 and April 2019, a cross-sectional research was carried out using a probability proportional to size (PPS) sampling strategy. 110 calves from 57 dairy cows that were younger than 30 days old were included in the study. The related factors of herds and calves were acquired by firsthand observation of a few calves and semi-structured interviews with farm owners. The article (9) investigated to ascertain if prepartum vaccination with a particular vaccine causes higher levels of immunoglobulins in dairy cows' colostral tissues than are accounted for by vaccine-specific immunoglobulins. On a commercial dairy farm with spring calving, prospective cohort research was carried out. The farm's strategy was to vaccinate cows with an anti-calf diarrhea vaccine only if their ear tag number were even, while cows with odd ear tag numbers were left unvaccinated. The study (10) examined the diagnostic multiplexed PCR test and a meta-omics analysis of the rectal content of healthy and diarrheal cattle calves in this instance. Both functional dysbiosis (i.e., greater levels of respiration that is aerobic and pathogenicity) and microbiological compositional dysbiosis (i.e., increased abundances of members of the family Enterobacteriaceae and associated lytic bacteriophages) were found in the diarrheic calf gut. The study (11) was to emphasize and explains how management methods may stop diarrhea in dairy calves. Certain experimental methods were offered to decrease diarrhea outbreaks



and enhance calf health and well-being. The health and happiness of dairy calves before weaning affect the lifetime of the herd and future milk output.

The study (12) mentioned the dairy calf rearing facility, combined a major outbreak of newborn diarrhea caused by infections with bacterial, viral, and protozoan disease agents was discovered to have morbidity and fatality rates of 80 and 20 percent, respectively. To test for "the presence of rotavirus A (RVA), bovine coronavirus (BCoV), bovine kobuvirus (BKV), bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV-1 and BVDV-2), enteropathogenic Escherichia coli (ETEC), salmonella sp., and cryptosporidium spp., diarrheal feces from eight calves aged five to eighteen days were collected". A calf with diarrhea that died suddenly was given tiny intestinal fragments for histological examination. The article (13) mentioned the epidemiological significance of Rotavirus infection in newborn diarrhoeic cattle and buffalo calves in Egypt's Assiut Governorate as the goal of the current investigation. 315 newborn calves from various regions in the Assiut Governorate, Upper Egypt, were clinically investigated from December 2015 to November 2019. The study (14) examined the incidence, serotyping, and antibiogram patterns of E. coli isolated from diarrheal calves in Egypt are highlighted. In addition to examining six genes that code for virulence using polymerase chain reaction (PCR). A total of 120 calves were tested for E. coli presence. From 40 samples of diarrheal calves, a total of 16 (40%) E. coli strains were found. The study (15) mentioned hemogram data, glutathione, and malondialdehyde levels were analyzed to assess lipid peroxidation and glutathione levels in coronavirus-infected calves. To assess the amount of inflammation, the serum levels of calprotectin and amyloid A were also examined. Analysis showed that compared to the as well as the control group, the coronavirus-infected calves had higher levels of neutrophil, lymphocyte, Malondialdehyde, serum amyloid A, calprotectin, and total leukocytes.

Infectious Etiologies

The cause of calf diarrhea has been linked to several pathogenic pathogens. Because these major infections have been linked to calf diarrhea for a long time and continue to have a significant impact on recent cow-calf operations, numerous gastrointestinal practitioners and farmers who work with cattle are aware of pathogens. Ten distinct enteric pathogens are identified as important or emerging diseases, including bovine caliciviruses and BToV, Salmonella spp., E. coli, C. perfringens, and BVDV. Below is a brief description of the features of many intestinal pathogens, such as more recent discoveries.

Viruses

Bovine rotavirus is the major culprit behind calf diarrhea. The Rotavirus genus and Reoviridae family are both home to the virus. The non-enveloped rotavirus has 11 double-stranded RNA segments with a length of 16–21 kb, is extremely stable across a broad pH range, and is heat labile. Rotaviruses may be categorized into seven serogroups based on how similar they are in terms of antigenic makeup and genetic makeup of the intermediate capsid protein virus and preparation (VP6). Group A rotaviruses are the predominant cause of



rotavirus infection in domestic animals. The bulk of BRVs (95%) are grouping rotaviruses, while group "B and C rotaviruses" have also been found in real-world circumstances.

Group "A rotaviruses can be further subdivided into P or G types based on the genetic and antigenic similarities of VP4 (protease sensitive protein) and VP7 (Glycoprotein) G", which make up the outer capsid of the virion and trigger the development of antiviral antibodies. In domesticated animals, there have been reports of "16 G types and 27 P types". Rotaviruses in cattle might be of the G1, G6, G8, or G10 subtypes. Cattle are said to have the highest proportion of G6 and G10 types.

Nonstructural glycoprotein 4 (NSP4), an infectious enterotoxin, has a "unique VP4, VP6, and VP7" also have a crucial function in preserving viral attachment, antigenicity, and structural integrity. By increasing influx of calcium ions into the cytoplasm, this protein also disrupts cellular equilibrium. These modifications, instead of histopathological abnormalities, are more crucial for viral pathogenesis because they account for major shifts in the flow of water and nutrients through the epithelium of the intestine.

When calves are 1 to 2 weeks old, the animal's rotavirus frequently triggers diarrhea. Given gastrointestinal pH levels and intestinal cell epithelial getting sick, the milk consumed by calves can offer an ideal setting for rotavirus survival. This might be the reason weaned calves become more prone to calf diarrhea. Infected calves get severe diarrhea from the virus, which has a relatively short gestation time. When sick, calves release a lot of viruses through their excrement for five to seven days, polluting the environment and making it possible for inmates to get the disease. The small intestine villi's epithelium cells' cytoplasm is where the infectious agent multiplies.

The tiny intestine is where the infection caused by viruses starts, and it typically progresses to the colon and the rest of the tiny intestines. Atrophic villi and necrotic lamina propria are seen under a microscope in the afflicted tiny intestines and colonic cryptsA positive-sense, single-stranded RNA virus with an envelope, the Bovine Viral Diarrhea Virus (BVDV) is a member of the Flaviviridae family and belongs to the genus Pestivirus. The genus contains three species: "border disease virus (BVDV), classical swine fever virus (CSFV), and others". It is feasible to differentiate between the two variants of BVDV (BVDV1 and BVDV2) by contrasting the structure. BVDV3 was recently suggested as a preliminary species among various Pestivirus viruses in alongside these two kinds.

With a number of factors, including the immune system of the host, the stage of pregnancy and gestation, and whether there is or lack of co-infections with additional pathogens, Clinical signs of BVDV infection might range from asymptomatic sickness to fatal illness. The majority of infected animals only exhibit mild signs and symptoms, Leukopenia, anorexia, limited milk output, and mild temperature are a few examples. An active "BVD infection is characterized by diarrhea, pyrexia, melancholy, anorexia, reduced milk production, oral ulcerations, hemorrhagic syndrome, and lymphopenia/leucopenia that lead to immunosuppressive". Due to concurrent infections with additional bacteria, immunesuppressed calves are more vulnerable to other illnesses. Despite immune-competent animals gradually ridding themselves of the infectious agent and healing, some affected cattle may have sporadic displays of temporarily detectable viremia, harbour the virus for a long time.



Because the developing baby is not immune-competent, pregnant cows and calves give birth to a noncytopathic BVDV exposure between 45 and 125 days of gestation, calves will become persistently infected (PI). Most PI calves develop slowly and have limited strength at birth, making them vulnerable to other diseases. If subjected to both exogenous and endogenous cytopathic BVDV, the PI animals also experience lethal "mucosal disease". Clinical symptoms of mucosal disease include mucosal ulceration, vesicle formation, erosions, diarrhea, and death. There are two main ways that BVDV can produce calf diarrhea: 1) chronic infection leading to major enterocyte destruction and co-infections susceptibility, 2) transitory diarrhea is caused by an infection that replicates in crypt enterocytes and lesion formation.

All three enclosed toroviruses equine, porcine, and human are positive-stranded RNA viruses that belong Order Nidovirales, which belongs to the family Coronaviridae and the genus Torovirus. The primary piglets and children's acute gastrointestinal sickness source, toroviruses are contagious gastrointestinal agents in cows.

In humans, including adults and children, noroviruses contribute to gastroenteritis without bacteria that is sporadic and severe. Additionally, it has been shown that these infections can cause gastroenteric sickness in various species, including cattle, pigs, dogs, and mink. On newborn calves infected by oral means, the newest clinical challenge was carried out using the Jena strain of BNoV. The researchers showed that the virus affected small intestine epithelial cells and resulted in villous atrophy, which induced diarrhea with viral shedding yet did not cause seroconversion. BNoV has also been found in the feces of clinically healthy cattle, raising doubts about its clinical importance. In research the many causes of calf diarrhea found between the year of (2012- 2020) seen in figure 1.



Figure (1). Causes of calf diarrhoea

Bacteria

Many different hosts have their gastrointestinal tracts colonized by Salmonella enterica. The most frequent causes that cause salmonellosis in calves are "S. enterica serovar Typhimurium (S. typhimurium) and serovar Dublin (S. dublin)".

Salmonella infection can cause several different clinical signs, from asymptomatic to salmonellosis. S. Typhimurium causes systemic sickness and acute diarrheal disease more



frequently than S. Dublin. Salmonella infections are frequently found in calves under three weeks old. The pseudomembrane on the small intestinal mucosa and swelling of the mesenteric lymph nodes are the lesions often seen in afflicted calves. Zoonosis can be contracted from cattle with the infection via direct contact or food-borne pathways.

Salmonellosis's characteristic clinical symptom is a watery, mucoid diarrhea accompanied by plasma and fibrin. Although calves and adult cattle can contract salmonella and develop diarrhea, infection is far more common in these young animals and frequently results in serious symptoms. According to the severity of the illness, calves can occasionally shed the bacterium for varying lengths.

The "Enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC), shiga toxin-producing E. coli, enteropathogenic E. coli, enteroinvasive E. coli, enteroaggressive E. coli, and enterohaemorrhagic E. coli are the six pathogroups" that Escherichia coli may be divided into depending on virulence scheme. ETEC stains, which generate the heat-stable enterotoxin and K99 adhesion antigen among these bacteria, are the most frequent causes of newborn diarrhea. It should be highlighted that if the diagnosis is limited to E. coli K99+ alone, additional E. coli pathogroups that are typically recognized by histopathology may go undetected.

The initial four days after birth are when newborn risk of an ETEC infection is greatest in calves. If infected, these calves get watery diarrhea. During ingestion, ETEC multiplies in the intestinal villi's enterocytes before spreading to the gut epithelium. Due to its low pH, the distal part of the small intestine offers the best conditions for ETEC colonization. The infected small intestine frequently shows laminar propria damage and villous atrophy caused by a loss of infected cells. The bacteria produce the K99 antigen to facilitate adhesion.

Protozoa

The protozoan parasite known as Cryptosporidium parvum is commonly linked to gastrointestinal disorders in both humans and newborn calves. Calves with C. parvum infections may not show symptoms, or they may experience serious bowel movements and dehydration. There are around 24 different species of Cryptosporidium. The bacteria C. parvum, C. bovis, C. range, and C. Anderson frequently infect cattle. Calf diarrhea is thought to be mostly caused by C. parvum, a possible zoonotic agent.

Following ingestion of C. parvum, sporozoites are released from the oocyst excystation and enterocytes. Macrogametocytes and microgametocytes are produced by the encysted parasites by "asexual type I meront and sexual type II meront reproduction", respectively. After microgametes fertilize the macro gametocytes, zygotes develop with sporulates, which produce thin-walled oocysts that are implicated in autoinfection. Then, oocysts with strong walls exit the host. Given ideal circumstances, the oocysts may live for more than a month in the surroundings and are resistant to most disinfecting agents. Oocyst-infested surroundings have the potential for infecting individuals as well as pets right away.

If C. parvum invades enterocytes, alterations in the cytoskeleton of the intestine are brought about, including the disappearance of the animal is infected, and villous atrop is caused by microvilli and the shortening of columnar epithelial cells. Damage to the intestinal epithelium, nutritional loss, moreover, unprocessed milk ferments in the intestinal lumen. are



all factors that contribute to long-term malnutrition and slower development rates in afflicted calves. These have a significant negative financial impact on cow-calf development.

Diagnosis of Calf Enteric Pathogens

Dehydration and acidosis, which can cause anorexia and ataxia, are two conditions that can cause newborn calves to die from diarrhea. Diarrheal sickness has been associated with bacteria or other variables, thus, laboratory testing is required for a precise diagnosis of the issue. The development of diarrhea can happen quickly. Therefore, an early diagnosis is crucial to not only rapidly determine the problem, but also aid veterinarians and cattle producers in putting the required measures into place at the appropriate time. It should be emphasized that several factors, including sampling time and population, specimen kinds and worth, and laboratory techniques utilized, might affect the results of a diagnostic test.

Procedures for diagnosing calf diarrhea

To diagnose diarrhea, doctors should disclose their patients' clinical and agricultural histories. Age, vaccination history, and clinical symptoms should all be included in clinical data. The diagnostician classifies the samples once sent to a veterinary diagnostic lab to guarantee correct delivery to testing labs depending on their past and sample type. Before determining the causal pathogen, physicians should consider the whole clinical and agricultural history, as well as the test results as they become available.

Sampling & specimen submission

A diagnostics lab's appropriate specimen collecting and transportation are frequently overlooked, although it has a big influence on the diagnosis. Before starting treatment, antemortem samples for diagnostic tests should, at the very least, contain the feces of animals with acute diarrhea. Blood samples are available. When serious outbreaks, necropsy samples from recently made sacrifices, terminally ill or killed calves are extremely valuable for identification. In addition "to colonic content, fresh and formalin-fixed gastrointestinal tissues, including those from local lymph nodes and liver", should be obtained. Rectal swabs or rectal stimulation should immediately collect fresh fecal samples from diarrheal animals into a specimen container to prevent environmental contamination. To ensure pathogen viability and sample integrity after gathering, the sample needs to be stored with refrigerated in a transport medium or special stool container. If feasible, an oxygen-free transport medium should be used to transport samples of anaerobic bacteria.

Laboratory testing

Histopathology is considered the benchmark for causative factors and illness approval, traditionally used with bacterial isolation and characterization in laboratory approaches for diagnosing enteric pathogens. However, it might be challenging to separate many enteric pathogens from the gastrointestinal environment. Alternative methods include employing light microscopy to directly image microorganisms in feces or intestinal contents or electron microscopy [EM], as well as the identification of antigens or nucleic acids in specimens.



When analyzing samples for enteric infections, most veterinary diagnostic laboratories utilize a variety of procedures simultaneously. The features of popular laboratory techniques for diagnosing enteric infections, as well as their benefits and drawbacks, are briefly outlined here and summarized in Table (1).

Table (1). Advantages and disadvantages of laboratory methods for identifying enteric pathogens

Method	Disadvantages	Advantages	Target pathogens
Test for latex agglutination	-Falsely optimistic outcomes as a result of vague obligations -Analytical sensibility is low	 A variety of objectives Capabilities for semi- quantification An inexpensive process with a quick completion 	K99+, E. coli
Culture of fecal bacteria	-Low efficiency -Needs for the existence of contagious microorganisms -Laborious	-Used frequently to identify bacterial pathogens -Insufficient specificity	E. coli, Salmonella spp, K99+, C. perfringens
PCR in real-time	 -High price -size restriction on PCR products -Interaction between various colors -False negative outcomes brought on by genetic mutation or assay inhibition -Incorrect findings as a result of cross-contamination 	 -Rapid identification of pathogens -High accuracy and specificity, -rapid processing, quantification of the target pathogen 	BToV, BNoV, Nebovirus, Salmonella spp, E. coli, K99+, BRV, BCoV, BVDV, C. perfringens, C. parvum
Direct microscopy and colonic flotation	-Low sensitivity - the ideal quantity of oocysts needed -Personal assessment of the findings	 used for parasite eggs Rapid identification cost is low 	C. parvum
virus segregation	 Low sensitivities; Cell properties that limit viral growth; Need for adequate sample collection and processing to ensure virus survival Not relevant to specimens that are cytotoxic 	 -Confirm the presence of infectious virus in medical sample availability of an isolated virus for future analysis or vaccine development low specificity 	BRV, BCoV, BVDV



	- Exhausting and time- consuming		
Traditional PCR	 -Risk of contamination during sample processing -need for experienced staff -and potential for false negatives brought on by genetic variation or recombination. -A small throughput 	 -Rapid identification of pathogens -High sensitivity and accuracy 	BNoV, Nebovirus, Salmonella spp, E. coli, BRV, BCoV, BVDV, BToV, K99+, C. perfringens, C. parvum
Atomic microscopy	 Needs a significant amount of viral particles in the samples Low throughput Requirement for qualified staff Expensive equipment 	-suitable for non- cultivatable virus - Visualization of morphology -Low specificity	BVDV, BToV, BRV, BCoV, BNoV, Nebovirus
Enzyme-linked immunosorbent test for epitope capture	 -Low analytical sensitivity In some cases, prohibitively expensive Specificity issues brought on by background signal or generic binding 	 -Rapid identification of pathogens - High-volume testing -Plug-and-play functionality -Portability 	C. perfringens, C. parvum, BVDV, BRV, BCoV, E. coli, K99+, BToV, BNoV, Nebovirus

The virus isolation test is still recognized as the "gold standard" for determining viral infections in specimens, despite the development of other methods like ELISA and PCR-based testing. Viral growth for vaccine manufacture, viral isolation for diagnostic purposes, or additional techniques for characterizing viruses, such as antigenic variation analysis or gene sequencing are all often carried out using cell culture methods. Due to differences in the viral susceptibilities of the various cell types, multiple types of cells are utilized for a given virus. The generation of viruses may be increased or isolated and propagated using embryonic eggs and laboratory animals, which do not develop in cells in vitro. A specimen's target viruses must be viable for viral isolation to be effective. Specimens should be sent to a diagnostic laboratory as soon as feasible after collection while being preserved at a low temperature and in a transport medium. The viral isolation test is a method of confirmation, but it takes some time to prepare the cells and activate the virus. As a result, this method is more time-consuming and costly than an ELISA or PCR. Depending on their morphological properties, viruses may be detected and identified using electron microscopy often. IEM, or immuno-electron microscopy, is one of two forms of EM. Positive and negative staining are



two different staining techniques that are used to see the target. In direct EM, virus particles are directly injected into a solid substrate using a fluid sample matrix, and they are then visible with the addition of a contrast dye. The technique known as "negative staining EM" is frequently used for thin-section EM of preserved tissues in contrast to the use of positive staining. Since direct EM is only used to see viruses in samples and is not regarded as a delicate operation, it cannot perform particular tests.

Display of viruses, especially EM with quick turnaround, has a big benefit because it is cultivable. Most cattle enteric viruses, including "BNoV, Nebovirus, BRV, BToV, and BCoV", are challenging to detect or grow in cell cultures; they may be distinguished under an electron microscope, by their distinctive morphology. For effective EM, feces samples from animals that are clinically ill and have acute diarrhea must be collected. The expense of electron microscopes and the need for trained laboratory staff remain obstacles to using EM for regular diagnostic procedures. However, when diarrhea with an unidentified viral cause appears, EM is a useful technique. An attracting agent, ELISA is used to swiftly detect a based on an antibody's identification of the target antigen; a pathogen can be found in a clinical sample. For this method, the antibody is attached to a robust surface like glass, plastic, or a membrane filter. Antigen from the sample that is the target is captured by the antibodies. The antigen-antibody response is then confirmed by a series of following colorimetric assays. The optical density (OD), which may be determined by spectrometry, can be used to evaluate antigen concentration quantitatively. Numerous fields have made use of the Ag-ELISA. This technique has been used widely for human medical diagnosis in particular. A few of the platforms employed include the tubes technique, membrane-bound technique and the microtiter plate technique. Although the use of microtiter plates in diagnostic laboratory settings has been quite common, "the most popular platform for inclinic or patient-side testing is the membrane-bound method employing a lateral flow methodology, such as a strip test, SNAP test, or fast kits". It is important to get samples of animals suffering from severe diarrhea in order to ensure that test findings are accurate because the analytical sensitivity of this approach is typically lower than that of culture, isolation, or testing using nucleic acids. For the best outcomes, freshly collected feces from severely sick calves should be used. In some cases, the price of a commercial kit could be too high.A centrifuge stage is widely used in testing procedures since spinning focuses the target for detection, it helps to straightforward microscope observation. After the centrifugation, fecal smears can also be examined directly under the microscope. Despite proper staining, oocysts in clinical specimens could be hard to see. It has been found that C. parvum oocysts exhibit acid-fast staining. To find these microbes in fecal smears, modified acid-fast dyes are used. The altered Kinyoun acid-fast staining does not need heating the staining chemicals, unlike the Ziehl-Neelsen modified a lipid solvent and a more concentrated form of fuchsin dye make up the acid-fast stain. In a nutshell, one to two droplets of excrement are applied on a spotless glass slide, then let it air dry.



Multiplex real-time PCR has the potential to concurrently four or more distinct objectives in one sample can be found. However, to ensure constant sensitivity, the PCR product has a size restriction (often fewer than 200 bp). Therefore, when a multiplex real-time PCR experiment is run, the primers and probes should be properly constructed. Another aspect to consider while multiplexing is "cross-talk" generated by strong correlations in fluorescence energy frequency between different dyes.

Prevention and Control of Calf Diarrhea

The multifactorial illness is calf diarrhea. The administration of calving throughout pregnancy, calf immunity, and stress or contamination from the environment may be summed up as contributing factors in the development of calf diarrhea. In a prior study, characteristics of significant or newly discovered bovine enteric pathogens were discussed. There aren't many differences in the prevention and development each etiological agent's proportion of calf diarrhea. To determine the present state of the afflicted farm and create new interventions, it is crucial to be aware of the causing pathogen(s). Nowadays, higher productivity from the standpoint of the cattle supplier and public concern for animal welfare are significant components of disease prevention and management in the production of animals. Figure 2 shows the number of diarrheal calves in the control (C) and treatment (T) groups.



Figure (2). Number of calf's diarrhoea in C &T

Peripartum calving management

Cow nutrition is intimately related to dystocia, milk output, poor labor, and calf development. As most of the development of the fetus occurs in the final two months of pregnancy, deficits in macro or micronutrients the likelihood of morbidity and death during calf births is increased during this time. Recently, it has been demonstrated that cow diet affects fetal growth and development as well as the calf's transition into adulthood. Low development is present in calves delivered to malnourished cows, efficiency and disease resistance. Another study revealed that cows who consumed more protein throughout the last trimester gave birth to healthier heifer offspring that are outperformed the untreated sample in later life when they became pregnant.



Immunity

The bovine placenta forbids the unintentional passage of antibodies to the fetus. As a consequence, the calf was born. There are severely vulnerable to diseases from outside influences since it lacks antibodies from the mother. The calf's resistance to enteric illness strongly correlates with the timely intake of appropriate amounts of excellent colostrum.

The number of calves born, the food of the cow, and her vaccination record all affect the quality of the colostrum. However, calves born to heifers can obtain the requisite level of naturally acquired immunity provided enough colostrum is ingested in the first 24 hours of life. Compared to multiparous cows, heifers are more prone to dystocia, poor colostrum production, and unsuccessful mothering. Therefore, it is important to consider cow-calf management strategies to lower the likelihood of infectious disease formation. Colostrum's main purpose is to strengthen the defences of the calf by passively transferring antibodies and cell-mediated immunity. Although it is ideal for calves to get colostrum from their mothers, it is frequently combined with other cows' colostrum and given to calves or bought. Avoid using colostrum from dairy farms with questionable infection status. A historically disease-free facility or the farm where the baby was born should be used to acquire supplementary colostrum.

Commercial multivalent vaccinations are now offered for several infections. The majority of vaccinations either use dead or a mix of living modified organisms and the two. Other immunizations are designed for calves, while some are produced exclusively for cows.

Environmental stress and contamination

The major factor contributing to calf diarrhea is exposure to a polluted environment. Reducing the pathogen burden in the environment where calves are grown would be a straightforward approach, yet this has traditionally proven difficult for cow farmers. Calves are immediately exposed to contaminated settings after delivery. These habitats can be affected by "a number of things, including the occurrence of diseased animals, crowding, concurrent cow-heifer calving, contaminated calving lots, and a lack of calf age segregation". These elements frequently complement one another and raise the possibility of prolonged exposure to a greater number of infections. Contrarily, each element is controlled and reduced as part of the intervention to avoid calf diarrhea. Dairy cow-calf enterprises can use the Sandhills Calving System's basic premise to prevent calf diarrhea. Shortly as the calves are born, they must be transferred to a new pen or hutch after leaving the calving enclosure in order to avoid disease transmission. Instead of the calf feeding directly from the dam, the colostrum must be administered to it immediately using a milking bottle. The calf cages or hutches must be cleaned, and then filled with dry covering due to the babies' weakened immune systems. The calves must be in touch with and free from contamination by other calves' excrement and urine. Lastly, all feeding facilities and supplies should be kept clean and hygienic.



Conclusion

Managing infectious calf diarrhea is crucial to prevent significant economic losses and ensure the health and productivity of calves. The causes of calf diarrhea are diverse, including viral, bacterial, and parasitic pathogens, as well as management and environmental factors. Early detection is essential for effective treatment and prevention of the spread of the disease. Monitoring calf behavior, fecal consistency, and other clinical signs can aid in the timely identification of diarrhea cases. A significant illness that has a detrimental impact on the cattle business is calf diarrhea. Although several innovative intervention measures have been developed and put into practice to reduce financial loss, this ailment still has a major economic effect. The intricacy of calf diarrhea continues to be a significant problem, which involves a number of infectious diseases, a lack of understanding of the disease's ecology, a lack of environmental cleanliness, and inaccurate epidemiological data. Effective disease control is hampered by genetic variety, ongoing evolution, new infections, or the prevalence of pathogens in the environment.

References

- [1] Bertoni, E., Barragán, A.A., Bok, M., Vega, C., Martínez, M., Gil, J.F., Cimino, R.O. and Parreño, V., 2021. Assessment of influential factors for scours associated with cryptosporidium sp., rotavirus and coronavirus in calves from argentinean dairy farms. Animals, 11(9), p.2652.
- [2] Wei, X., Wang, W., Dong, Z., Cheng, F., Zhou, X., Li, B. and Zhang, J., 2021. Detection of infectious agents causing neonatal calf diarrhea on two large dairy farms in Yangxin County, Shandong Province, China. Frontiers in Veterinary Science, 7, p.589126.
- [3] Alimirzaei, M. and Nikkhah, A., 2022. Development of an Aggressive Treatment Protocol against Neonatal Calf Diarrhea: The Last Chance to Rescue Severely Infected Calves. World, 12(4), pp.459-461.
- [4] Kim, S., Yu, D.H., Jung, S., Kang, J., Park, K., Chae, J.B., Choi, K.S., Kim, H.C., Park, B.K., Chae, J.S. and Park, J., 2021. Biological Factors Associated with Infectious Diarrhea in Calves. Pakistan Veterinary Journal, 41(4).
- [5] Gomez, D.E., Arroyo, L.G., Renaud, D.L., Viel, L. and Weese, J.S., 2021. A multidisciplinary approach to reduce and refine antimicrobial drugs use for diarrhoea in dairy calves. The Veterinary Journal, 274, p.105713.
- [6] Caffarena, R.D., Casaux, M.L., Schild, C.O., Fraga, M., Castells, M., Colina, R., Maya, L., Corbellini, L.G., Riet-Correa, F. and Giannitti, F., 2021. Causes of neonatal calf diarrhea and mortality in pasture-based dairy herds in Uruguay: a farm-matched case-control study. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology, 52(2), pp.977-988.
- [7] Jang, J.Y., Kim, S., Kwon, M.S., Lee, J., Yu, D.H., Song, R.H., Choi, H.J. and Park, J., 2019. Rotavirus-mediated alteration of gut microbiota and its correlation with physiological characteristics in neonatal calves. Journal of Microbiology, 57, pp.113-121.
- [8] Debelo, M., Abdela, H., Tesfaye, A., Tiruneh, A., Mekonnen, G., Asefa, Z. and Moje, N., 2021. Prevalence of bovine rotavirus and coronavirus in neonatal calves in dairy farms of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: preliminary study. BioMed Research International, 2021.



- [9] Chambers, G.P., Kelton, W., Smolenski, G. and Cuttance, E., 2022. Impact of prepartum administration of a vaccine against infectious calf diarrhea on nonspecific colostral immunoglobulin concentrations of dairy cows. Journal of Animal Science, 100(8), p.skac212.
- [10] Whon, T.W., Kim, H.S., Shin, N.R., Sung, H., Kim, M.S., Kim, J.Y., Kang, W., Kim, P.S., Hyun, D.W., Seong, H.J. and Sul, W.J., 2021. Calf diarrhea caused by prolonged expansion of autochthonous gut Enterobacteriaceae and their lytic bacteriophages. Msystems, 6(2), pp.e00816-20.
- [11] Nikkhah, A. and Alimirzaei, M., 2022. Preventing diarrhea to reduce calf morbidity and mortality: A pragmatic outlook. International J. of Biomed Research. 2 (3).
- [12] Dall Agnol, A.M., Lorenzetti, E., Leme, R.A., Ladeia, W.A., Mainardi, R.M., Bernardi, A., Headley, S.A., Freire, R.L., Pereira, U.P., Alfieri, A.F. and Alfieri, A.A., 2021. Severe outbreak of bovine neonatal diarrhea in a dairy calf rearing unit with multifactorial etiology. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology, 52(4), pp.2547-2553.
- [13] Youssef, Z.M.A. and Zaitoun, A.M.A., 2022. Epidemiological study of Rotavirus infection in the diarrheic neonatal calves.
- [14] Mousa, W.S. and Shama, U.H.A., 2020. Prevalence, antimicrobial resistance and substantial virulence-associated genes of Escherichia coli isolated from colibacillosis in neonatal calves in Egypt. The Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Food Sciences, 9(6), p.1145.
- [15] Aydin, O., Ulas, N., Genc, A., Baysal, S., Kandemir, O. and Aktas, M.S., 2022. Investigation of hemogram, oxidative stress, and some inflammatory marker levels in neonatal calves with escherichia coli and coronavirus diarrhea. Microbial Pathogenesis, 173, p.105802.